

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SESSION 1 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Interpretation of Statutes: Scope & Limits | | |
| 1. | H. Miles foy, III, <i>On Judicial Discretion in Statutory Interpretation</i> , Administrative Law Review, Vol. 62, No. 2 (Spring 2010), pp. 291-327..... | |
| 2. | William N. Eskridge, Jr., <i>Dynamic Statutory Interpretation</i> , University of Pennsylvania Law Review, Jul., 1987, Vol. 135, No. 6 (Jul., 1987), pp. 1479-1555... | |
| 3. | Livingston Hall, <i>Strict or Liberal Construction of Penal Statutes</i> , Harvard Law Review, Mar., 1935, Vol. 48, No. 5 (Mar., 1935), pp. 748-774..... | |
| 4. | Felix Frankfurter, <i>Some Reflections on the Reading of Statutes</i> , Columbia Law Review, May, 1947, Vol. 47, No. 4 (May, 1947), pp. 527-546..... | |
| 5. | HLA Hart, <i>Discretion</i> , Harvard Law Review, DECEMBER 2013, Vol. 127, No. 2 (DECEMBER 2013), pp. 652-665..... | |
| 6. | <i>Why Learned Hand Would Never Consult Legislative History Today</i> , Harvard Law Review, Mar., 1992, Vol. 105, No. 5 (Mar., 1992), pp. 1005-1024..... | |
| 7. | <p>Inclusive List of Case Law Jurisprudence:</p> <p><i>Strict v. Liberal Construction</i></p> <p>i. <u>Clauses of a Contract</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Bangalore Electricity Supply Co. Ltd. v. E.S. Solar Power (P) Ltd.</i>, (2021) 6 SCC 718 ✓ <i>Kamla Devi v. Takhatmal Land</i>, (1964) 2 SCR 152 ✓ <i>Ashville Investments Ltd. v. Elmer Contractors Ltd.</i>, 1989 QB 488 ✓ <i>Bank of India v. K. Mohandas</i>, (2009) 5 SCC 313 ✓ <i>Bihar SEB v. Green Rubber Industries</i>, (1990) 1 SCC 731 ✓ <i>Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd. v. West Bromwich Building Society</i>, (1998) 1 All ER 98 (HL) <p>ii. <u>Rights v. Exemption</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Delhi Airtech Services (P) Ltd. v. State of U.P.</i>, (2011) 9 SCC 354 at page 405 ✓ <i>Delhi Airtech Services (P) Ltd. v. State of U.P.</i>, (2011) 9 SCC 354 at page 405, para 61 ✓ <i>Secy. of State for India v. Birendra Kishore Manikya</i>, ILR (1917) 44 Cal 328, at page 343 ✓ <i>Gujarat Electricity Board v. Girdharlal Motilal</i>, AIR 1969 SC 267, para 5 ✓ <i>Ram Nath Sao v. Gobardhan Sao</i>, (2002) 3 SCC 195, at para 12. ✓ <i>State of W.B. v. Administrator, Howrah Municipality</i>, (1972) 1 SCC 366 at page 374, para 30. <p>iii. <u>Penal Provisions</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>P.K. Arjunan v. State of Kerala</i>, (2007) 9 SCC 516 ✓ <i>Spring Meadows Hospital v. Harjol Ahluwalia</i>, (1998) 4 SCC 39 ✓ <i>State of Maharashtra v. Mohd. Yakub</i>, (1980) 3 SCC 57 ✓ <i>Ishar Das v. State of Punjab</i>, (1973) 2 SCC 65 | |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | <p>Role of Foreign Wisdom</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board v. A. Rajappa</i>, (1978) 2 SCC 213 ✓ <i>General Electric Co. v. Renusagar Power Co.</i>, (1987) 4 SCC 137 at page 149 ✓ <i>Raghunath Prasad v. Sarju Prasad</i>, 1923 SCC OnLine PC 62 ✓ <i>Gajnan v. Seth Brindaban</i>, (1970) 2 SCC 360 at page 366 | |
| <p>SESSION 2 Standard(s) of Proof</p> | | |
| 1. | Mike Redmayn, <i>Standards of Proof in Civil Litigation</i> , The Modern Law Review, Mar., 1999, Vol. 62, No. 2 (Mar., 1999), pp. 167-195..... | |
| 2. | Kevin M. Clermont and Emily Sherwin, <i>A Comparative View of Standards of Proof</i> , The American Journal of Comparative Law, Oxford University Press, Spring, 2002, Vol. 50, No. 2 (Spring, 2002), pp. 243-275..... | |
| 3. | Michele Taruffo, <i>Rethinking the Standards of Proof</i> , The American Journal of Comparative Law, Summer, 2003, Vol. 51, No. 3 (Summer, 2003), pp. 659-677..... | |
| 4. | Hillary Gaston Walsh, <i>Unequivocally Different: The Third Civil Standard of Proof</i> , 66 U. KAN. L. REV. 565 (2018)..... | |
| 5. | J. Harvie Wilkinson III, <i>The Presumption of Civil Innocence</i> , Virginia Law Review, June 2018, Vol. 104, No. 4 (June 2018), pp. 589-653..... | |
| 6. | <p>Inclusive List of Case Law Jurisprudence:</p> <p><i>Criminal Law Cases –Proof Beyond Reasonable Doubt</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Naresh Kumar v. State of H.P.</i>, (2017) 15 SCC 684 at page 688 <p><i>Criminal Law Cases wherein – Preponderance of Probability applies</i></p> <p><u>Right to Private Defence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Mahadev v. Border Security Force</i>, (2022) 8 SCC 502 at page 509 ✓ <i>James Martin v. State of Kerala</i>, (2004) 2 SCC 203 ✓ <i>Salim Zia v. State of U.P.</i>, (1979) 2 SCC 648 at page 654 <p><u>Special Acts viz. POCSO, NDPS, SC ST(POA) etc.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Naresh Kumar v. State of H.P.</i>, (2017) 15 SCC 684 at page 688 ✓ <i>Noor Aga v. State of Punjab</i>, 2008 (16) SCC 417 ✓ <i>Seema Silk & Sarees v. Directorate of Enforcement</i>, (2008) 5 SCC 580 at page 588 <p><u>Also Refer to:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Sahid Hossain Biswas v. State of West Bengal</i>, CRA No. 736 of 2016 & C.R.A.N. No. 1035/2017 (Calcutta High Court) at para 23 & 24. ✓ The Calcutta High Court view had been relied upon by the Madras High Court in <i>Ragul v. State by Inspector of Police</i>, Criminal Appeal No. 391 of 2016 (Madras High Court). ✓ <i>Navin Dhaniram Baraiye v. State of Maharashtra</i>, 2018 SCC OnLine Bom 1281 at para 24. | |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Sachin Baliram Kakde v. State of Maharashtra</i>, 2016 All MR (Cri) 4049 at para 18, 19 & 20. Welfare Law Cases – Preponderance of Probabilities <u>MACT Cases:</u> ✓ <i>Anita Sharma v. New India Assurance Co. Ltd.</i>, (2021) 1 SCC 171 ✓ <i>Dulcina Fernandes v. Joaquim Xavier Cruz</i>, (2013) 10 SCC 646 ✓ <i>Bimla Devi v. Himachal RTC</i>, (2009) 13 SCC 530 Quasi Judicial Proceedings ‘Departmental Enquiries’ etc. – Preponderance of Probabilities ✓ <i>State of Madras v. A.R. Srinivasan</i>, AIR 1966 SC 1827 ✓ <i>Union of India v. Sardar Bahadur</i>, (1972) 4 SCC 618 at page 623 ✓ <i>Union of India v. H.C. Goel</i>, 1963 SCC OnLine SC 16 ✓ <i>Nand Kishore Prasad v. State of Bihar</i>, (1978) 3 SCC 366 Intellectual Property Rights Cases – “Clear & Cogent” or “Clear & Convincing” ✓ <i>R.G. Anand v. Delux Films</i>, (1978) 4 SCC 118 at page 140 ✓ <i>F Hoffmann-La Roche v Cipla</i>, 2009 SCC ONLINE DEL 1074 at para 53 referred to foreign wisdom ✓ <i>F. Hoffmann-LA Roche Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd.</i>, 2008 SCC OnLine Del 382 : (2008) 148 DLT 598 at page 630 para 69 & 70. Property Law Cases ‘Possession’, ‘Ownership’, etc. – “Clear & Convincing” or “Clear & Cogent” or “Clear & Unequivocal” or “Cogent & Convincing” ✓ <i>Government of Kerala v. Joseph</i>, Civil Appeal 3142 Of 2010, 2023 INSC 693 ✓ <i>State of Rajasthan v. Harphool Singh</i>, (2000) 5 SCC 652; and in ✓ <i>Annasaheb Bapusaheb Patil v. Balwant</i>, (1995) 2 SCC 543 ✓ <i>M Siddiq (D) through LRs v. Mahant Suresh Das</i>, (2020) 1SCC 1 ✓ <i>Thakur Kishan Singh v. Arvind Kumar</i>, (1994) 6 SCC 591 | |
| <p>SESSION 3</p> <p>Evolving Issues in Statutory Interpretation</p> | | |
| 1. | R. V. Raveendran J., <i>Some Anamolies in Law and Justice</i> , 2020 SCC OnLine Blog OpEd 29..... | |
| 2. | David Tan, <i>Problem of Interpretive Canons</i> , Statute Law Review, 2023, 44, 1–14... | |
| 3. | Members & Committees of Congress, <i>Statutory Interpretation: Theories, Tools, and Trends</i> , Congressional Research Service, Updated March 10, 2023..... | |
| 4. | Sheldon D. Elliott, <i>Statutory Interpretation and the Welfare State</i> , 2 JILI (1960) 257..... | |
| 5. | Amy Coney Barrett, <i>Substantive Canons and Faithful Agencies</i> , 90 B.U. L. Rev. 109 (2010)..... | |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| 6. | John Calvin Jeffries, Jr., <i>Legality, Vagueness, and the Construction of Penal Statutes</i> , Virginia Law Review , Mar., 1985, Vol. 71, No. 2 (Mar., 1985), pp. 189-245..... | |
| 7. | Peter Westen, <i>Two Rules of Legality in Criminal Law</i> , Law and Philosophy , May, 2007, Vol. 26, No. 3 (May, 2007), pp. 229-305..... | |
| 8. | Justice Brijesh Kumar, <i>Legislative Drafting</i> , J.T.R.I. JOURNAL – Second Year, Issue – 4 & 5 - Year – March, 1996..... | |
| 9. | <p>Case Law</p> <p><i>Judgments not to be Interpreted as Statutes</i></p> <p><u>Indian Jurisprudence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>V. Senthil Balaji v. State</i>, (2024) 3 SCC 51, at para 83. ✓ <i>Haryana Financial corporation v. Jagdamba Oil Mills</i>, (2002) 3 SCC 496 at page 508 ✓ <i>Collector Of Central Excise, Calcutta v. M/s Alnoori Tobacco Products</i>, (2004) 6 SCC 186 ✓ <i>K.T.M.T.M. Abdul Kayoom v. CIT</i>, (1962) 44 ITR 689, AIR 1962 680 <p><u>Foreign Jurisprudence</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>London Graving Dock Co. Ltd. v. Horton</i>, (1951) 2 All ER 1 (HL)] (at p. 761) ✓ <i>Home Office v. Dorset Yacht Co.</i>, (1970) 2 All ER 294 at page 297 g-h (Lord Reid) held: ✓ <i>Shepherd Homes Ltd. v. Sandham</i>, (1971) 1 WLR 1062 (Megarry, J) held: One must not, of course, construe even a reserved judgment of even Russell, L.J. as if it were an Act of Parliament. ✓ <i>British Railways Board v. Herrington</i>, (1972) 1 All ER 749, (HL) at page 761 c, (Lord Morris) <p><i>Doctrine of Vagueness</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Shreya Singhal v. Union of India</i>, (2015) 5 SCC 1, at page 151 para(s) 56, 59, 60, 67-71, 73, 86 <p><i>Repugnancy in statute laws</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Maharaja Pratap Singh Bahadur v. Thakur Manmohan Dey</i>, AIR 1966 SC 1931, para 16. ✓ <i>Ashok Marketing Ltd. v. PNB</i>, AIR 1991 SC 855, para 50 <p style="text-align: center;"><i>leges posteriores priores contrarias abrogant – exception to generalia specialibus non derogant</i></p> | |
| <p>SESSION 4</p> <p>Common Errors in Interpretation</p> | | |
| 1. | P.M. Bakshi, <i>Proviso in Legislative Drafting</i> , Journal of the Indian Law Institute , APRIL-JUNE 1992, Vol. 34, No. 2 (APRILJUNE 1992), pp. 179-186..... | |
| 2. | Justice G.P. Singh, <i>Subsidiary Rules</i> in PRINCIPLES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION pp. 391-533 (14 th Edition, 2016)..... | |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 3. | Dr. Vepa P. Sarathi, <i>Internal Aids</i> in INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES pp. 265-299 (5 th Edition, 2010) | |
| 4. | Dr. Vepa P. Sarathi, <i>Retrospective Effect of Statutes</i> in INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES pp. 325-351 (5 th Edition, 2010) | |
| 5. | <p>Case Law:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Utkal Contractors & Joinery (P) Ltd. v. State of Orissa</i>, (1987) 3 SCC 279 at page 288, at para 9. <p>Proviso</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>V. Senthil Balaji v. State</i>, (2024) 3 SCC 51 at para 83 ✓ <i>Satya Pal Singh v. State of MP</i>, (2015) 15 SCC 613 at page 618 para 12 & 13 ✓ <i>Dwarka Prasad v. Dwarka Das Saronj</i>, (1976) 1 SCC 128 at page 137 para 18 ✓ <i>Sundaram Pillai v. V.R. Pattabiraman</i>, (1985) 1 SCC 591, at page 607 para 30 <p>Distinction between Proviso, Exception, & Non Obstante Clause</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Kailash v. Nankhu</i>, (2005) 4 SCC 480 ✓ <i>Laxminarayan Saw Mill v. State of Orissa</i>, 1994 SCC OnLine Ori 101, at para(s) 11, 12 & 15 ✓ <i>Sarwan Singh v. Kasturi Lal</i>, (1977) 1 SCC 750 ✓ <i>State of U.P. v. Manbodhan Lal Srivastava</i>, AIR 1957 SC 912 ✓ <i>Raj Krishna Bose v. Binod Kanungo</i>, AIR 1954 SC 202 <p>“And” or “Or”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Director of Mines Safety v. tandur & Nayandgi Stone Quarries (P) Ltd.</i>, (1987) 3 SCC 208 ✓ <i>Paradip Port Trust v. Workmen</i>, (1977) 2 SCC 339 ✓ <i>State of Bombay v. R.M.D. Chamarbaugwala</i>, AIR 1957 SC 699 <p>Without Prejudice to the Generality of the Proceeding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Shiv Kirpal Singh v. V.V. Giri</i>, (1970) 2 SCC 657 ✓ <i>Om Prakash v. Union of India</i>, (1970) 3 SCC 942 <p>Retroactive Effect</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>SEBI v. Rajkumar Nagpal</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1119 ✓ <i>Vineeta Sharma v. Rakesh Sharma</i>, 2020 (9) SCC 1 ✓ <i>State of A.P. v. Gandhi</i>, (2013) 5 SCC 111, Paras 43 & 44 ✓ <i>State Bank’s Staff Union (Madras Circle) v. Union of India</i>, (2005) 7 SCC 584, Paras 20-22 ✓ <i>Ramji Purshottam v. Laxmanbhai D. Kurlawala</i>, (2004) 6 SCC 455, Para 12 & 13 ✓ <i>Ujagar Prints (II) v. Union of India</i>, (1989) 3 SCC 488 ✓ <i>Addagada Raghavamma And Anr v. Addagada Chenchamma And Anr</i>, AIR 1964 SC 136 ✓ <i>Chhotabhai Jethabhai Patel & Co. v. Union of India & Anr</i>, AIR 1962 SC 1006 | |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SESSION 5 | |
|--|--|
| Contours of Interpreting Judicial Decisions | |
| 1. | Sir Paul Vinogradoff, <i>Judicial Precedents</i> in COMMON SENSE IN LAW, pp. 169-207 (Law & Justice Publishing Co., 2023)..... |
| 2. | Justice R.V. Raveendran, <i>Precedents – Boon or Bane?</i> in ANOMALIES IN LAW AND JUSTICE, 363 (Eastern Book Company, 2021)..... |
| 3. | S.P. Gupta & Rahul Agrawal, <i>Doctrine of Precedent and Stare Decisis in India</i> , (2009) 3 SCC J-32..... |
| 4. | Edward McGlynn Gaffney Jr., <i>The Importance of Dissent and the Imperative of Judicial Civility</i> , 28 Val. U. L. Rev. 583 (1994)..... |
| 5. | Rupret Cross, J. W. Harris, <i>Ratio Decidendi and Obiter Dictum</i> in PRECEDENT IN ENGLISH LAW, pp. 52-57, 63-71 (Clarendon Press, 1991) |
| | <p>Case Law:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ <i>Trimurthi Fragrances (P) Ltd. v. Government of N.C.T. of Delhi</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1247 ✓ <i>Trimurthi Fragrances (P) Ltd. v. Government of N.C.T. of Delhi</i>, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1247 ✓ <i>Ramesh Bhavan Rathod v. Vishanbhai Hirabhai Makwana</i> (2021) 6 SCC 230 ✓ <i>Union of India v. R. Thiyagarajan</i>, (2020) 5 SCC 201 ✓ <i>Shah Faesal v. Union of India</i>, (2020) 4 SCC 1 ✓ <i>M/s Bhati v. National Insurance Co. Ltd.</i>, (2019) 12 SCC 248 ✓ <i>State of Gujarat v. Utility Users Welfare Association</i>, (2018) 6 SCC 21 ✓ <i>Court on its Own Motion v. Jayant Kashmiri</i>, 2017 SCC OnLine Del 7387 ✓ <i>Union of India v. P. Shyamala</i>, 2017 SCC OnLine Mad 6715 ✓ <i>State of U.P. v. Ajay Kumar Sharma</i>, (2016) 15 SCC 289 ✓ <i>P Suseela v. University Grants Commission</i>, (2015) 8 SCC 129 ✓ <i>Vedica Procon Pvt. Ltd. v. Baleshwar Green (Pvt.) Ltd.</i>, (2015) 10 SCC 94 ✓ <i>Rajbir Singh Dalal (Dr.) v. Chaudhari Devilal University, Sirsa & Anr.</i> (2008) 9 SCC 284 ✓ <i>Pradip J. Mehta v. CIT</i>, (2008) 14 SCC 283 ✓ <i>Union of India v. Major Bahadur Singh</i>, (2006) 1 SCC 368 ✓ <i>State of Haryana v. Ranbir</i>, (2006) 5 SCC 167 ✓ <i>Central Board of Dawood Bohra Com v. State of Maharashtra</i>, (2005) 2 SCC 673 ✓ <i>Union of India v. Amritlal Manchanda</i>, AIR 2004 SC 1625 ✓ <i>State of Bihar v. Kalika Kuer</i>, (2003) 5 SCC 448 ✓ <i>Megh Singh v. State of Punjab</i>, (2003) 8 SCC 666 ✓ <i>Director of Settlements A.P. & Ors. v. M.R. Apparao & Ors</i>, (2002) 4 SCC 638 ✓ <i>Delhi Administration (Now NCT of Delhi) v. Manohar Lal</i>, (2002) 7 SCC 222 ✓ <i>CIT v. Thana Electricity supply Ltd.</i> [1994] 206 ITR 727 (Bom.) |

**National Conference on Interpretation of Statutes for District Judiciary
(P-1400)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">✓ <i>Hari Singh v. State of Haryana, (1993) 3 SCC 114</i>✓ <i>CIT v. M/s Sun Engineering, AIR 1993 SC 43</i>✓ <i>Sundarjas Kanyalal Bhatija v. Collector [1990] 183 ITR 130 (SC)</i>✓ <i>Krishena Kumar v. Union of India, (1990) 4 SCC 207</i>✓ <i>Union of India v. Raghubir Singh, AIR 1989 SC 1933</i>✓ <i>Empire Industries Ltd. v. Union of India, (1985) 3 SCC 314</i>✓ <i>Regional Manager v. Pawan Kumar Dubey, (1976) 3 SCC 334</i>✓ <i>Waman Rao & Ors v. Union of India, (1981) 2 SCC 362</i>✓ <i>Valliamma Champaka Pillai V. Siuvathanu Pillai, (1979) 4 SCC 429</i>✓ <i>Commissioner of Income Tax v. Godavari Devi Saraf, 1977 SCC Online Bom 215</i>✓ <i>Baradakanta Mishra v. Bhimsen Dixit AIR 1972 SC 2466</i>✓ <i>East India Commercial Co., Ltd., Calcutta & Ors v. Collector of Customs, Calcutta, AIR 1962 SC 1893</i>✓ <i>State Of Gujarat vs Gordhandas Keshavji Gandhi And others, AIR 1962 Guj 128</i>✓ <i>Mahadeolal Kanodia v. Administrator General of West Bengal, AIR 1960 SC 936</i>✓ <i>Atma Ram v. State of Punjab, AIR 1959 SC 519</i>✓ <i>Bengal Immunity Co Ltd vs. the State of Bihar, AIR 1955 SC 661</i>✓ <i>Vodafone India Limited V. Commissioner of Central Excise, Mumbai – II, 2015 (9) TMI 583</i>✓ <i>Coral Reef Limited v Silverbond Enterprises Ltd and Another, [2016] EWHC 874 (Ch)</i> | |
|---|--|